UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,157	03/01/2004	David T. Horoschak	BCS03163	1004
Motorola, Inc.	7590 06/11/200	77	EXAMINER	
101 Tournamer			DEAN, RAYMOND S	
Horsham, PA 19044			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/790,157	HOROSCHAK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Raymond S. Dean	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a rivill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status .					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 M</u> 2a)□ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matt	·			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 01 March 2004 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj drawing(s) be held in abeyar tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0506.	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2618

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless =

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 7, 9 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dangberg et al. (US 2002/0173866).

Regarding Claim 1, Dangberg teaches a system for time shifting radio broadcast signals, said system comprising: an audio tuner, said audio tuner tuning frequencies for reception of said radio broadcast signals (Figure 1, Section 0014, receiver (22)); and a selection recognition engine coupled to said audio tuner, said selection recognition engine monitoring said radio broadcast signals for pre-defined recording triggers and selectively recording portions of a radio broadcast signal, in response to said recording triggers, for playback at subsequent pre-selected times or intervals (Section 0020, when the clock time coincides with the time code in the broadcast signal the broadcast information will be recorded).

Regarding Claim 11, Dangberg teaches a method for time shifting radio broadcast signals, said method comprising the steps of: monitoring radio broadcast signals for a pre-defined recording trigger (Section 0020, when the clock time coincides with the time code in the broadcast signal the broadcast information will be recorded);

Art Unit: 2618

recording at least a portion of a radio broadcast signal upon an occurrence of said recording trigger at a pre-selected frequency associated with said record trigger (Sections 0014, 0020, the receiver will be tuned to a particular frequency such that the broadcast information can be recorded); and storing a recorded portion of said radio broadcast signal (Section 0011).

Regarding Claim 2, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Dangberg further teaches an audio capture memory coupled to said selection recognition engine, said audio capture memory storing recorded portions of said radio broadcast signal (Section 0014).

Regarding Claim 3, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 2. Dangberg teaches wherein said audio capture memory comprises at least one of random access memory, flash memory, a hard drive, optical drive, and optical-magnetic drive (Section 0014).

Regarding Claim 4, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Dangberg further teaches a digital audio player, said digital audio player providing playback of a digital audio stream (Figure 1, Sections 0008 - 0010, 0014, the digital audio appliance (10) can be an MP3 player).

Regarding Claim 5, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Dangberg further teaches an audio selector, said audio selector managing an interruption of said current digital audio stream, for playback of a said recorded portion of said radio broadcast signal, and resumption of said digital audio stream previously interrupted (Figure 1, Sections 0015, 0017, 0019, only one output can be connected to

Art Unit: 2618

the speaker via the decoder thus a user of the MP3 player can: choose to interrupt, via the input means, the current digital broadcast to listen to a recorded segment, and stop the playback, via the input means, of the recorded segment to resume the current digital broadcast).

Regarding Claim 6, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 4. Dangberg further teaches wherein said audio selector comprises a user interface (Section 0017, input means).

Dangberg further teaches wherein said audio selector comprises random access memory (Section 0017, microcontrollers and microprocessors comprise memory such as random access memory).

Regarding Claim 7, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Dangberg further teaches wherein said digital audio player comprises at least one of a Redbook audio player, MP3 audio player, MPEP4 audio player, and AC-3 audio player (Sections 0008 -0010).

Regarding Claim 9, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Dangberg further teaches a frequency detection unit (Section 0017, the controller will know the frequency in order to tune the receiver).

Regarding Claims 10, 13, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 1, 11. Dangberg further teaches wherein the record trigger comprises at least one of voice recognition, signaling tone, and pre-defined time (Section 0020).

Regarding Claim 12, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 11. Dangberg further teaches stopping a current digital audio stream playback in

Art Unit: 2618

response to the presence of said recorded portion of said radio broadcast signal; playing said recorded portion of said radio broadcast signal; and resuming said digital audio stream previously interrupted (Figure 1, Sections 0015, 0017, 0019, only one output can be connected to the speaker via the decoder thus a user of the MP3 player can: choose to interrupt, via the input means, the current digital broadcast to listen to a recorded segment, and stop the playback, via the input means, of the recorded segment to resume the current digital broadcast).

Regarding Claim 14, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 11. Dangberg further teaches where said recording comprising digitally compressing said recorded portion of said radio broadcast signal in at least one of MP3 audio, MPEP4 audio, and AC-3 audio format (Sections 0008 -0010).

Regarding Claim 15, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 12. Dangberg further teaches stopping said recording of said radio broadcast signal upon the occurrence of a stop trigger (Section 0020, the recording of the news will stop once the news broadcast is complete).

Regarding Claim 16, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 15. Dangberg further teaches at least one of a fixed time after said start of said step of recording, a pre-defined recording stop time, voice recognition, change in an orators voice, a standardized tone, and standardized event (Section 0020, the recording of the news will stop once the news broadcast is complete, said stopping will occur at a fixed time after the start of the recording of the news).

Regarding Claim 17, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in

Art Unit: 2618

Claim 12. Dangberg further teaches the step of notifying when a recorded portion of a radio broadcast signal has been recorded but not yet played back (Sections 0008 - 0010, 0020, typical MP3 players comprise an indication, audible or visual, to notify a user that a broadcast portion has been recorded).

Regarding Claim 18, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 17. Dangberg further teaches an audible indication (Sections 0008 - 0010, 0020, typical MP3 players comprise an indication, audible or visible, to notify a user that a broadcast portion has been recorded).

Regarding Claim 19, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 17. Dangberg further teaches a visual indication (Sections 0008 - 0010, 0020, typical MP3 players comprise an indication, audible or visible, to notify a user that a broadcast portion has been recorded).

Regarding Claim 20, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 12. Dangberg further teaches wherein said step of stopping said digital audio stream in response to presence of said recorded portion of said radio broadcast signal occurs in response to a selection input, said selection input determining when to stop said digital audio stream for playback of said recorded portion of said radio broadcast signal (Figure 1, Sections 0015, 0017, 0019, only one output can be connected to the speaker via the decoder thus a user of the MP3 player can: choose to interrupt, via the input means, the current digital broadcast to listen to a recorded segment, and stop the playback, via the input means, of the recorded segment to resume the current digital broadcast).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding Claim 21, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 17. Dangberg further teaches wherein said step of stopping said digital audio stream in response to presence of said recorded portion of said radio broadcast signal is in response to a preset default condition (Figure 1, Sections 0015, 0017, 0019).

3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dangberg et al. (US 2002/0173866) in view of Hagg (US 2003/0035072).

Regarding Claim 8, Dangberg teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Dangberg does not teach a speech recognition unit.

Hagg teaches a speech recognition unit (Section 0038, the processor can differentiate between speech and music thus said processor comprises a speech recognition unit).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Dangberg with the speech recognition unit of Hagg for the purpose of determining the segmentation of an incoming audio stream thus determining the content of the audio stream as taught by Hagg.

## Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond S. Dean whose telephone number is 571-272-7877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:00-2:30.

Art Unit: 2618

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raymond S. Dean May 30, 2007

EDWARD F. URBAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600